arXiv:1702.00875v1 [math.CA] 3 Feb 2017

CHARACTERIZATION OF POLYNOMIALS AS SOLUTIONS OF CERTAIN FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS

J. M. ALMIRA

Abstract. In [6] the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} f_i(b_i x + c_i y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(y) v_i(x)$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$, was studied, both in the classical context of continuous complex valued functions and in the framework of complex valued Schwartz distributions, where these equations were properly introduced in two different ways. The solution sets of these equations are, typically, exponential polynomials and, in some particular cases, they reduce to ordinary polynomials. In this paper we present several characterizations of ordinary polynomials as the solution sets of certain related functional equations. Some of these equations are important because of their connection with the Characterization Problem of distributions in Probability Theory.

1. Introduction

The Levi-Civita functional equation, which has the form

$$f(x+y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(y) v_i(x), \tag{1}$$

where f, a_k, v_k are complex valued functions defined on a semigroup $(\Gamma, +)$, can be restated by claiming that $\tau_y(f) \in W$ for all $y \in \Gamma$, where $W = \mathbf{span}\{v_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a finite dimensional space of functions defined on Γ and $\tau_y(f)(x) = f(x+y)$.

If $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^d$ for some $d \geqslant 1$, the equation (1) can be formulated also for distributions, since the translation operator τ_y can be extended, in a natural way, to the space $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ of Schwartz complex valued distributions. Concretely, we can define

$$\tau_y(f)\{\phi\} = f\{\tau_{-y}(\phi)\}$$

for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and all test function ϕ. For these distributions we will also consider, in this paper, the dilation operator

$$\sigma_b(f)\{\phi\} = \frac{1}{|\det(b)|} f\{\sigma_{b^{-1}}(\phi)\},$$

where $b \in \mathbf{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$ is any invertible matrix, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is any test function, and $\sigma_{b^{-1}}(\phi)(x) = \phi(b^{-1}x)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.

If X_d denotes either the set of continuous complex valued functions $C(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or the set of Schwartz complex valued distributions $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$, and $f \in X_d$, then it is

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 43B45, 39A70; Secondary 39B52.

Key words and phrases. Levi-Civita Functional equation, Polynomials and Exponential Polynomials on abelian Groups, Linear Functional Equations, Montel's theorem, Fréchet's Theorem, Characterization Problems in Probaility Theory, Generalized Functions.

known that $\tau_y(f) \in W$ for all $y \in \Gamma$, where $W = \mathbf{span}\{v_k\}_{k=1}^n$ is a finite dimensional subspace of X_d, if and only if f is equal, in distributional sense, to a continuous exponential polynomial (also named quasi-polynomial). Indeed, if we set $M = \tau(f) = \mathbf{span}\{f(\cdot + y) : y \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, then $M \subseteq W$ is finite dimensional and translation invariant, so that Anselone-Korevaar's Theorem [8] implies that all its elements, including $f(x)$, are exponential polynomials. This was proved in 1913 by Levi-Civita [19] for the case of ordinary continuous functions (see also [18], [20] for other proofs) Furthermore, if $\{w_k\}_{k=1}^N$ is a basis of the translation invariant space M, then every $f \in M$ satisfies the family of equations

$$\tau_y f = \sum_{i=1}^{N} b_i(y) w_i \ (y \in \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Thus, in the context of distributions, it makes sense to say that $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ satisfies the Levi-Civita functional equation if there exist distributions $\{v_1, \cdots, v_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ and ordinary functions $a_i : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{C}$ such that, for every $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$

$$\tau_y(f) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i(y) v_i. \tag{2}$$

Indeed, we can assume that $\mathbf{span}\{v_1, \cdots, v_m\}$ is translation invariant of dimension m. Then Anselone-Korevaar's theorem guarantees that $v_1, .., v_m$ and f are all of them continuous exponential polynomials. Furthermore, once this is known, we can also demonstrate that $a_1, ..., a_m$ are also continuous exponential polynomials. This follows from the fact that the translation operator $\tau_y(f)(x) = f(x+y)$ is continuous, which implies that $a_1, \cdots, a_m$ are continuous functions and then a symmetry argument (interchange x and y) will show that they are, indeed, continuous exponential polynomials.

Note that when we say that two distributions are equal, this equality is in distributional sense. Hence, if a distribution u is equal to a continuous function f, this means that the distribution is an ordinary function and it is equal almost everywhere, with respect to Lebesgue measure, to f. Thus, when we claim that a distribution is a continuous exponential polynomial, we just state equality almost everywhere in Lebesgue sense.

If W is a vector subspace of X_d and $f, \tau_y(f) \in W$, then $\Delta_y f = \tau_y(f) - f \in W$. Thus, for the additive group $\Gamma = \mathbb{R}^d$, Levi-Civita functional equation also takes the form

$$\Delta_y f(x) \in W \quad (y \in \mathbb{R}^d), \tag{3}$$

with W a finite dimensional subspace of X_d.

In [6] the functional equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^{m} f_i(b_i x + c_i y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i(y) v_i(x)$$

with $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{GL}_d(\mathbb{C})$, was studied, both in the classical context of continuous complex valued functions and in the framework of complex valued Schwartz distributions. Concretely, the following results were demonstrated (see [6, Theorems 3, 6, 9 and Corollary 9]):

Theorem 1. *Assume that* $\{f_k\}_{k=1}^m \subset X_d$ *and, for all* $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \tau_{c_i y}(f_i) \in W \text{ for all } y \in \mathbb{R}^d \tag{4}$$

for an n*-dimensional subspace* W *of* $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$. *If all matrices* c_i *and* $c_i - c_j$ *(for* $i \neq j$*) are invertible, then all* f_k *are continuous exponential polynomials.*

Theorem 2. *Assume that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(b_i x + c_i y) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} u_k(y) v_k(x), \tag{5}$$

where $f_i, u_k, v_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ *and* $b_i, c_i \in GL(d, \mathbb{R})$. *If all matrices* $b_i^{-1}c_i - b_j^{-1}c_j$ *(for* $i \neq j$*) are invertible, then* f_k *is a continuous exponential polynomial for* $k = 1, \ldots, m$.

Theorem 3. *Assume that* $f_i, a_\alpha, b_\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ *for* $1 \leqslant i \leqslant m$, $0 \leqslant |\alpha| \leqslant r$ *and* $0 \leqslant |\beta| \leqslant s$, *and equation*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(x + c_i y) = A(x, y) + B(y, x), \tag{6}$$

is satisfied with $A(x, y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant r} x^\alpha \cdot a_\alpha(y)$ *and* $B(y, x) = \sum_{|\beta| \leqslant s} b_\beta(x) \cdot y^\beta$. *Assume, furthermore, that all matrices* c_i *(for all* i*) and* $c_i - c_j$ *(for* $i \neq j$*) are invertible. Then all* f_i *are (in the distributional sense) ordinary polynomials.*

Thus, the solution sets of these equations are, typically, exponential polynomials and, in some particular cases, they reduce to ordinary polynomials.

In this paper we present several characterizations of ordinary polynomials as the solution sets of certain functional equations. Some of these equations are important because of their connection with the characterization problem of distributions in Probability Theory, by using the characteristic functions of random variables and random vectors. In particular, the equation (5) , when restricted to ordinary functions f_i, A and B, has been recognized as an useful tool in the characterization problem for Gaussian distributions (see, for example, [21, Chapter 7]).

Remark 4. Note that the equations considered in Theorems 2 and 3 are considered as a single equation in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)'$. To do this, it is necessary to fix the notation we are using. Concretely, if $f_i, a_k, v_k \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$, and b_i, c_i are nvertible matrices, the distributions $f_i(b_i x + c_i y), a_k(y)v_k(x) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)'$ are defined by

$$f_i(b_i x + c_i y)\{\varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(y)\} = f_i\left\{\frac{1}{|\det(b_i)||\det(c_i)|}\sigma_{b_i^{-1}}(\varphi_1) * \sigma_{c_i^{-1}}(\varphi_2)\right\}$$

and

$$a_k(y)v_k(x)\{\varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(y)\} = a_k(y)\{\varphi_2(y)\}v_k(x)\{\varphi_1(x)\}$$

for arbitrary $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, respectively. Indeed, the vector subspace of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ spanned by the functions of the form $\varphi_1(x)\varphi_2(y)$, with $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Hence, any distribution $F \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)'$ is completely determined from its values on these products [24, p. 51].

Remark 5. Note that, if the matrices $\{b_1, \cdots, b_m, c_1, \cdots, c_m\}$ are pairwise commuting, then the statements below are equivalent:

- $b_i^{-1}c_i - b_j^{-1}c_j$ is invertible whenever $i \neq j$.
- $b_i c_j - b_j c_i$ is invertible whenever $i \neq j$

This is so because, if $b_i^{-1}c_i - b_j^{-1}c_j$ is invertible, then $b_j b_i(b_i^{-1}c_i - b_j^{-1}c_j) = b_j c_i - b_i c_j$ is also invertible, and, for the reverse implication we can multiply $b_j c_i - b_i c_j$ by $b_i^{-1}b_j^{-1}$ to get $b_i^{-1}b_j^{-1}(b_j c_i - b_i c_j) = b_i^{-1}c_i - b_j^{-1}c_j$.

Remark 6. If $f, g \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are tempered distributions and $b, c \in \mathbf{Gl}_d(\mathbb{R})$ are invertible matrices, then it is easy to prove that $f(bx+cy), f(x)g(y) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, and $\tau_{ch}(f) \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Hence, the equations (4) and (5) can be studied for tempered distributions. Now, $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^s) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^s)'$ for all $s \in \mathbb{N}$, so that Theorems 1 and 2 and the fact that all continuous exponential polynomials are tempered distributions, imply that all results in this section can be applied also under the additional restriction that all distributions under consideration are tempered distributions, and the same holds for all the equations studied along this paper.

2. Polynomials as solution sets of certain Functional equations connected to Probability Theory

As Theorem 3 shows, there are some particular cases of equation (5) which characterize continuous polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^d$. In this section we present a new proof of Theorem 3 which, in contrast to the one included in [6], is not based on the very technical tools used in [11]. We also present a new proof of a classical result by Ghurye and Olkin about characterizations of Gaussian distributions (see Theorem 9 below), under certain additional restrictions.

2.1. New proof of Theorem 3.

Let us first study the case $m = 1$ of the equation:

Lemma 7. *Assume that $c \in \mathbf{Gl}_d(\mathbb{R})$ and*

$$f(x+cy) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant r} x^\alpha \cdot a_\alpha(y) + \sum_{|\beta| \leqslant s} b_\beta(x) \cdot y^\beta$$

for some complex valued distributions f, $a_\alpha, b_\beta \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$. Then f is a polynomial.

Proof. Obviously, this equation is a particular case of (5). Hence f is an exponential polynomial, which means that $f = \sum_{k=1}^m p_k(x) e^{\langle \lambda_k, x\rangle}$ for certain m and certain polynomials p_k and complex vectors $\lambda_k \in \mathbb{C}^d$. Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^m p_k(x+cy) e^{\langle \lambda_k, x+cy\rangle} = \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant r} x^\alpha \cdot c_\alpha(y) + \sum_{|\beta| \leqslant s} d_\beta(x) \cdot y^\beta =: \Phi(x,y) \tag{7}$$

Given $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, we have that $\Delta_{(h_1,0)}^{r+1}\Delta_{(0,h_2)}^{s+1}\Phi(x,y) = 0$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{(h_1,0)}(p_k(x+cy)e^{\langle \lambda_k, x+cy\rangle}) \\
= \;& p_k(x+cy+h_1)e^{\langle \lambda_k, x+cy+h_1\rangle} - p_k(x+cy)e^{\langle \lambda_k, x+cy\rangle} \\
= \;& \left(p_k(x+cy+h_1)e^{\langle \lambda_k, h_1\rangle} - p_k(x+cy)\right) e^{\langle \lambda_k, x+cy\rangle} \\
= \;& \left(e^{\langle \lambda_k, h_1\rangle}\tau_{h_1} - 1_d\right)(p_k)(x+cy)e^{\langle \lambda_k, x+cy\rangle}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{(0,h_2)}(p_k(x+cy)e^{\langle\lambda_k,x+cy\rangle}) \\
= \quad & p_k(x+cy+ch_2)e^{\langle\lambda_k,x+cy+ch_2\rangle} - p_k(x+cy)e^{\langle\lambda_k,x+cy\rangle} \\
= \quad & \left(p_k(x+cy+ch_2)e^{\langle\lambda_k,ch_2\rangle} - p_k(x+cy)\right)e^{\langle\lambda_k,x+cy\rangle} \\
= \quad & \left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,ch_2\rangle}\tau_{ch_2} - 1_d\right)(p_k)(x+cy)e^{\langle\lambda_k,x+cy\rangle}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \Delta_{(0,h_2)}^{r+1}\Delta_{(0,h_2)}^{s+1}\Phi(x,y) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\Delta_{(0,h_2)}^{r+1}\Delta_{(0,h_2)}^{s+1}\left(p_k(x+cy)e^{\langle\lambda_k,x+cy\rangle}\right) \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,h_1\rangle}\tau_{h_1} - 1_d\right)^{s+1}\left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,ch_2\rangle}\tau_{ch_2} - 1_d\right)^{r+1}(p_k)(x+cy)e^{\langle\lambda_k,x+cy\rangle}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,h_1\rangle}\tau_{h_1} - 1_d\right)^{s+1}\left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,ch_2\rangle}\tau_{ch_2} - 1_d\right)^{r+1}(p_k)(x)e^{\langle\lambda_k,x\rangle} = 0,$$

since, for any distribution u and any invertible matrix $c \in \mathbf{Gl}_d(\mathbb{R})$, we have that $u(x+cy) = 0$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)'$ if and only if $u = 0$ as an element of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$.

We can assume that vectors λ_k, $k = 1, \cdots, m$ are pairwise distinct and all p_k are different from 0. Then [22, Lemma 4.3] (see also [23, Theorem 5.10]) implies that

$$\left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,h_1\rangle}\tau_{h_1} - 1_d\right)^{s+1}\left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,c_1h_2\rangle}\tau_{ch_2} - 1_d\right)^{r+1}(p_k)(x) = 0, \quad k = 1, \cdots, m.$$

for all $h_1, h_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, taking $h_2 = c^{-1}h_1$, we have that

$$\left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,h_1\rangle}\tau_{h_1} - 1_d\right)^{s+r+2}(p_k)(x) = 0, \quad k = 1, \cdots, m,$$

for all $h_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. Consider the polynomial p_k and the variety it generates: $V_k = \tau(p_k) = \mathbf{span}\{p_k(x+\alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, which is a translation invariant space of finite dimension. Then for any operator $L : V_k \to V_k$ which commutes with translations we have that $L = 0$ if and only if $L(p_k) = 0$. Moreover, $\tau_h : V_k \to V_k$ defines an automorphism for every $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and there exists an integral number $M > 0$ such that $\Delta_h^M p_k = 0$ for all $h \in \mathbb{R}^d$, since p_k is a polynomial. But $\Delta_h^M = (\tau_h - 1_d)^M$ implies that $(z-1)^M$ is a multiple of the minimal polynomial associated to the operator $\tau_h : V_k \to V_k$. This implies that the only eigenvalue of τ_h is 1 and the minimal polynomial of τ_h is of the form $(z-1)^{m(h)}$ for some $m(h) > 1$, for all $h \neq 0$ (since τ_h is different from the identity). Hence, $\left(e^{\langle\lambda_k,h_1\rangle}z - 1\right)^{s+r+2}$ must be a multiple of $(z-1)$ for every h_1. In particular, $e^{\langle\lambda_k,h_1\rangle} = 1$, for all h_1, which implies that $\lambda_k = \mathbf{0}$. Hence $k = 1$, $\lambda_1 = \mathbf{0}$, and f is a polynomial. □

Proof of Theorem 3. Lemma above proves the case $m = 1$. Assume $m > 1$ and apply the operator $\Delta_{(h_1,c_1^{-1}h_1)}$ to both sides of the equation. This transforms it

into a similar one, with $m-1$ summands,

$$\sum_{i=2}^{m} g_i(x+c_iy) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant r} x^\alpha \cdot a_\alpha^*(y) + \sum_{|\beta|\leqslant s} b_\beta^*(x)\cdot y^\beta \tag{8}$$

with $g_i = \Delta_{(I_d - c_i c_1^{-1})h_1}(f_i) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ for $i = 2, \cdots, m$. Thus, we can apply the operator $\Delta_{(h_2, c_2^{-1}h_2)}$ to both sides of the new equation to reduce again by one the number of summands,

$$\sum_{i=3}^{m} \Delta_{(I_d - c_i c_2^{-1})h_2}\Delta_{(I_d - c_i c_1^{-1})h_1}(f_i)(x+c_iy) = \sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant r} x^\alpha \cdot a_\alpha^{**}(y) + \sum_{|\beta|\leqslant s} b_\beta^{**}(x)\cdot y^\beta, \tag{9}$$

and, iterating the process, we get an equation with just one summand in the left hand side member:

$$\begin{aligned}&\Delta_{(I_d - c_m c_{m-1}^{-1})h_{m-1}} \cdots \Delta_{(I_d - c_m c_2^{-1})h_2}\Delta_{(I_d - c_m c_1^{-1})h_1}(f_m)(x+c_my)\\ &= \sum_{|\alpha|\leqslant r} x^\alpha \cdot a_\alpha^{***}(y) + \sum_{|\beta|\leqslant s} b_\beta^{***}(x)\cdot y^\beta.\end{aligned}$$

Applying case $m=1$ we conclude that

$$\Delta_{(I_d - c_m c_{m-1}^{-1})h_{m-1}} \cdots \Delta_{(I_d - c_m c_2^{-1})h_2}\Delta_{(I_d - c_m c_1^{-1})h_1}(f_m)$$

is a polynomial for all $h_1, \cdots, h_{m-1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, f_m satisfies the distributional version of Fréchet's functional equation $\Delta_h^{N+1} f_m = 0$, for all h and for certain N. Hence f_m is a polynomial (see [1], [2], [5] or [7]). A simple permutation in the summation process, before applying the very same arguments above, produces the very same result for f_i for all i. □

A similar result to Theorem 3 was already proved by Ghurye and Olkin for continuous functions. Indeed, for $d>1$, Theorem 3 generalizes to distributional setting, with a simpler proof, the particular case we get from [11, Lemma 3] when we impose the additional condition $\det(c_i - c_j) \neq 0$ for $i \neq j$. For $d=1$, see [11, Lemma 4].

2.2. **New proof of Ghurye and Olkin Theorem.** In this section we demonstrate the following result, which serves for a new proof of a well known result by Ghurye and Olkin:

Theorem 8. *Assume that $P, Q, f_i \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$, and $b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{Gl}_d(\mathbb{R})$ are such that $b_i^{-1}c_i - b_j^{-1}c_j$ is invertible whenever $i \neq j$. If*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(b_ix + c_iy) = P(x)\cdot 1(y) + 1(x)\cdot Q(y), \tag{10}$$

where the equality is understood in the sense of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)'$. Then $P(x)$ and $Q(y)$ are, in distributional sense, continuous polynomials of degree $\leqslant m$. Furthermore, if $Q=0$ in (10) then the degree of P is $m-1$ at most. Analogously, if $P=0$, in (10) then the degree of Q is $m-1$ at most.

Indeed, if we impose $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(b_ix)$ and $Q(y) = \sum_{i=1}^m f_i(c_iy)$, the equation (10) is transformed into

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(b_ix + c_iy) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(b_ix)\cdot 1(y) + 1(x)\cdot \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(c_iy), \tag{11}$$

which is a distributional version of the equation you get taking logarithms at both sides of Skitovich-Darmois functional equation:

$$\prod_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{\mu}_i(b_i x + c_i y) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{\mu}_i(b_i x) \prod_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{\mu}_i(c_i y). \tag{12}$$

Here, $\widehat{\mu}_i$ represents the characteristic function of a probability distribution μ_i. If $f_i = -\log \widehat{\mu}_i$ for all i, then we get the equation (11) for ordinary functions. This equation is connected to the characterization problem of Gaussian distributions. Concretely, its study leads to a proof of the following result:

Theorem 9 (Ghurye-Olkin [11], [16])**.** *Assume that X_i, $i = 1, \cdots, m$ are independent d-dimensional random vectors such that the linear forms $L_1 = b_1^t X_1 + ... + b_m^t X_m$ and $L_2 = c_1^t X_1 + ... + c_m^t X_m$ are independent, with $b_i, c_i \in \mathbf{Gl}_d(\mathbb{R})$ for $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Then X_i is Gaussian for all i.*

Previous to demonstrating Theorem 8, and for the sake of completeness, we include here the arguments which justify that equation (10) is connected to Ghurye-Olkin's Theorem. If μ_i is the distribution function of the random vector X_i and $\widehat{\mu}_i(x) = E(e^{i\langle x, X_i\rangle})$ is its characteristic function, the independence of L_1 and L_2 implies that

$$E(e^{i(\langle x, L_1\rangle + \langle y, L_2\rangle)}) = E(e^{i\langle x, L_1\rangle} e^{i\langle y, L_2\rangle}) = E(e^{i\langle x, L_1\rangle}) E(e^{i\langle y, L_2\rangle})$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} E(e^{i(\langle x, L_1\rangle + \langle y, L_2\rangle)}) &= E(e^{i(\langle x, b_1^t X_1 + ... + b_m^t X_m\rangle + \langle y, c_1^t X_1 + ... + c_m^t X_m\rangle)}) \\ &= E(e^{i(\langle b_1 x + c_1 y, X_1\rangle + ... + \langle b_m x + c_m y, X_m\rangle)}) \\ &= E(e^{i\langle b_1 x + c_1 y, X_1\rangle} \cdots e^{i\langle b_m x + c_m y, X_m\rangle}) \\ &= E(e^{i\langle b_1 x + c_1 y, X_1\rangle}) \cdots E(e^{i\langle b_m x + c_m y, X_m\rangle}) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{\mu}_i(b_i x + c_i y), \end{aligned}$$

since the X_i's are independent. With analogous computations, we get

$$E(e^{i\langle x, L_1\rangle}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{\mu}_i(b_i x)$$

and

$$E(e^{i\langle x, L_2\rangle}) = \prod_{i=1}^{m} \widehat{\mu}_i(c_i y).$$

Hence the characteristic functions $\widehat{\mu}_i$ satisfy the Skitovich-Darmois functional equation (11). Now, the assumption that they are characteristic functions, and that matrices b_i, c_i are invertible, imply that, for each i, $\widehat{\mu}_i$ doesn't vanish anywhere (this was proved, e.g., in [11, Lemma 1]). Hence we can take logarithms at both sides of the equation to get the Levi-Civita type functional equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(b_i x + c_i y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(b_i x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(c_i y), \tag{13}$$

where $f_i = -\log \widehat{\mu}_i$. Now, Theorem 8 implies that, under the additional assumption that $b_i^{-1} c_i - b_j^{-1} c_j$ is invertible for all $i \neq j$, the functions $P(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(b_i x)$ and $Q(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(c_i y)$ are polynomials. Hence the characteristic function of, for

example, L_1, is of the form $e^{P(x)}$ with $P(x)$ a polynomial. Then Marcinkiewicz's theorem [16, Lemma 1.4.2] implies that $P(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial and L_1 is Gaussian. Finally, Cramér's theorem for $\mathbb{R}^d$ claims that, in this case, all the random vectors X_i must be Gaussian (see [9, p. 112]). This proves Theorem 9 under the additional assumption that $\det(b_i^{-1}c_i - b_j^{-1}c_j) \neq 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

The equation (13) and its applications to the characterization problems of probability distributions, has been studied with great detail, by Feld'man [10], for ordinary functions defined on quite general commutative groups. May be our contribution here is that we formulate the equation, for the very first time, in distributional setting.

Proof of Theorem 8. There is no loss of generality if we assume $b_i = I_d$ for $i = 1, \cdots, m$. Hence our equation takes the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(x + c_i y) = P(x) \cdot 1(y) + 1(x) \cdot Q(y), \tag{14}$$

with c_i invertible for all i, and $c_i - c_j$ invertible whenever $i \neq j$. Take $h_1 \in \mathbb{R}^d$. and apply the operator $\Delta_{(h_1, -c_1^{-1}h_1)}$ to both sides of the equation. Then, in the left hand side we get

$$\Delta_{(h_1, -c_1^{-1}h_1)} \left[\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(x + c_i y) \right] = \sum_{i=2}^{m} g_i(x + c_i y), \tag{15}$$

with $g_i = \Delta_{(I_d - c_i c_1^{-1})h_1}(f_i) \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ for $i = 2, \cdots, m$. On the other hand, in the right hand side of the equation we get

$$\Delta_{(h_1, -c_1^{-1}h_1)} \left(P(x) \cdot 1(y) \right) = \tau_{-c_1^{-1}h_1}(1)(y) \cdot \tau_{h_1}(P)(x) - 1(y) \cdot P(x) = \Delta_{h_1} P(x) \cdot 1(y).$$

and

$$\Delta_{(h_1, -c_1^{-1}h_1)} \left(1(x) \cdot Q(y) \right) = \tau_{-c_1^{-1}h_1}(Q)(y) \cdot \tau_{h_1}(1)(x) - Q(y) \cdot 1(x) = 1(x) \cdot \Delta_{-c_1^{-1}h_1} Q(y).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=2}^{m} g_i(x + c_i y) = \Delta_{h_1} P(x) \cdot 1(y) + 1(x) \cdot \Delta_{-c_1^{-1}h_1} Q(y)$$

A simple iteration of the argument m times leads to the equation:

$$0 = (\Delta_{h_m} \cdots \Delta_{h_2} \Delta_{h_1} P(x)) \cdot 1(y) + 1(x) \cdot (\Delta_{-c_m^{-1}h_m} \cdots \Delta_{-c_2^{-1}h_2} \Delta_{-c_1^{-1}h_1} Q(y)), \tag{16}$$

which implies that $\Delta_{h_m} \cdots \Delta_{h_2} \Delta_{h_1} P(x)$ and $\Delta_{-c_m^{-1}h_m} \cdots \Delta_{-c_2^{-1}h_2} \Delta_{-c_1^{-1}h_1} Q(y)$ are both constant functions. In particular, taking $h_{m+1} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and applying the operator $\Delta_{(h_{m+1}, 0)}$ to both sides of the equation, we get

$$\Delta_{h_{m+1}} \Delta_{h_m} \cdots \Delta_{h_2} \Delta_{h_1} P(x) \cdot 1(y) = 0$$

and, analogously, if we apply $\Delta_{(0, h_{m+1})}$ to both sides of the equation, we get

$$1(x) \cdot \Delta_{h_{m+1}} \Delta_{-c_m^{-1}h_m} \cdots \Delta_{-c_2^{-1}h_2} \Delta_{-c_1^{-1}h_1} Q(y) = 0$$

Thus

$$\Delta_{h_{m+1}} \Delta_{h_m} \cdots \Delta_{h_2} \Delta_{h_1} P(x) = \Delta_{h_{m+1}} \Delta_{-c_m^{-1}h_m} \cdots \Delta_{-c_2^{-1}h_2} \Delta_{-c_1^{-1}h_1} Q(y) = 0$$

for all $h_1, \cdots, h_{m+1}$ in $\mathbb{R}^d$ (with equality in the sense of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$), and the result follows from the corresponding Fréchet's type theorem for distributions, which is

known (see again [1], [2], [5] or [7]). Note that, if we assume $Q = 0$ in the hypotheses of the theorem, then equation (16) takes the form

$$0 = (\Delta_{h_m} \cdots \Delta_{h_2} \Delta_{h_1} P(x)) \cdot 1(y),$$

which leads to $\Delta_{h_m} \cdots \Delta_{h_2} \Delta_{h_1} P(x) = 0$ and henceforth, in that case, P is a polynomial of degree $\leqslant m-1$. Of course, an analogous argument proves that, if $P = 0$ in (10), then Q is a polynomial of degree $\leqslant m-1$. □

Remark 10. Note that the equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i(x + c_i y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leqslant r} x^\alpha \cdot a_\alpha(y) + \sum_{|\beta| \leqslant s} b_\beta(x) \cdot y^\beta$$

generalizes (10) (It coincides, when $r = s = 0$). Hence Theorem 8 follows, with a completely different proof, as a direct corollary of Theorem 3, since, if $\Phi(x, y) = P(x) \cdot 1(y) + 1(x) \cdot Q(x)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$, then both P and Q are polynomials in $\mathbb{R}^d$.

3. A distributional version of Fréchet's and Kakutami-Nagumo-Walsh's theorems

Given $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$, we can think of $F = \Delta_y^m f(x)$ as a distribution in $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. To distinguish this from the standard interpretation of the operator Δ_y^m, we introduce a new notation:

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_y^m f(x) = f(x) \cdot 1(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{m}{i} (-1)^{m-i} f(I_d x + (iI_d)y),$$

and then we can prove the following generalization of Fréchet's theorem:

Theorem 11 (Fréchet's type theorem)**.** *Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ be such that*

$$\widetilde{\Delta}_y^m f(x) = f(x) \cdot 1(y) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{m}{i} (-1)^{m-i} f(I_d x + (iI_d)y) = 0, \tag{17}$$

where the equality is understood in the sense of $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)'$. Then f is equal almost everywhere to a continuous polynomial function in $\mathbb{R}^d$ of total degree $\leqslant m-1$.

Proof. Use Theorem 8 with $P = f$, $Q = 0$, $f_i = \binom{m}{i}(-1)^{m+1-i} f$, $b_i = I_d$, and $c_i = iI_d$, $i = 1, \cdots, m$, which obviously satisfy that $b_i^{-1} c_i - b_j^{-1} c_j = (i-j) I_d$ is invertible whenever $i \neq j$. □

We end this section with a commentary about harmonic polynomials of degree at most N (i.e, real and imaginary parts of complex polynomials $p(z) = a_0 + a_1 z \cdots + a_N z^N$). S. Kakutani and M. Nagumo [17] and J. L. Walsh [25] introduced, in the 1930's, the functional equation

$$\frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(z + w^k h) = 0, \text{ for all } z, h \in \mathbb{C}, \tag{18}$$

where w is any primitive N-th root of 1. This equation was extensively studied by S. Haruki [13, 14, 15] in the 1970's and 1980's. Its continuous solutions $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{R}$ are harmonic polynomials of degree at most N and, if we restrict our attention to solutions $f : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ which are holomorphic, the equation characterizes the complex polynomials of degree at most N. Now we can demonstrate the following

Theorem 12. *Assume that $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2)'$ is a solution of the equation* (18)*, which we formulate as an identity in $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)'$. Then f is equal, in distributional sense, to an harmonic polynomial of degree at most N.*

Proof. Under these hypotheses, Theorem 8 can be applied to f, since the linear operator $L_a : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}$ given by $L_a(z) = az$ is invertible as soon as $a \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ and, if w is a primitive N-th root of 1 and $0 \leqslant k, l \leqslant N-1$, $k \neq l$, then $w^k - w^l \neq 0$. Hence f is a polynomial in $\mathbb{R}^2$ which satisfies the Kakutani-Nagumo-Walsh equation (18) and, henceforth, is an harmonic polynomial. □

4. Another distributional characterization of polynomials

In this section we introduce another variation of the distributional version of Fréchet's Theorem, different in spirit of Theorem 11 above, which represents, up to our knowledge, a new characterization of ordinary polynomials which is, furthermore, stronger than the original Fréchet's theorem.

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}^d)'$ be a complex valued distribution defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and let $q(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$ be a polynomial of one variable. We define, for each $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$, the new distribution

$$q(\tau_y)(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k (\tau_y)^k (f)$$

which, in case of f being an ordinary function, can be written as

$$q(\tau_y)(f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k f(x + ky).$$

The following result is an improvement of [4, Theorem 2.2.]:

Theorem 13. *Assume $d > 1$. Let f be a complex valued distribution defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Assume that $q(\tau_y)(f) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ with $\|y\| = \delta$, for certain $\delta > 0$ and certain polynomial $q(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots a_n z^n$, with $a_n \neq 0$. Then f is, in distributional sense, an ordinary polynomial. In particular, if f is a continuous function, then it is an ordinary polynomial.*

Let us first prove two technical Lemmas:

Lemma 14. *Consider on $\mathbb{R}^d$ the Euclidean norm, the sphere $S_d(\delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| = \delta\}$, and the ball $B_d(\delta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^d : \|x\| \leqslant \delta\}$. If $d > 1$, then*

$$B_d(2\delta) = S_d(\delta) - S_d(\delta)$$

Proof. The inclusion $S_d(\delta) - S_d(\delta) \subseteq B_d(2\delta)$ follows directly from triangle inequality, for any norm we consider on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let us demonstrate the other inclusion. Take $x \in B_d(2\delta)$. If $\|x\| = 2\delta$ then $x = \frac{x}{2} - \frac{-x}{2}$ and we are done. If $\|x\| < 2\delta$, take Γ any plane passing through the origin of coordinates and containing x as an element (this can be done because $d > 1$). The inequality $\|x\| < \delta + \delta$, and the fact that we are considering the Euclidean norm, imply that in this plane there exists a triangle one of whose sides is x and the other two sides have both length δ. If O, P, Q are the vertices of such triangle, with $x = \overrightarrow{PQ}$, then $x = \overrightarrow{OQ} - \overrightarrow{OP}$ and $\|\overrightarrow{OP}\| = \|\overrightarrow{OQ}\| = \delta$ □

Lemma 15. *Assume $d > 1$. Then for any $\delta > 0$ there exist points $\{h_1, \cdots, h_s\} \subset S_d(\delta)$ in the sphere of $\mathbb{R}^d$ of radius δ, which span a dense additive subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^d$.*

Proof. It follows directly from a well known theorem by Kronecker [12, Theorem 442, page 382] (see also [3]) that every open neighborhood of $\mathbf{0} = (0, 0, \cdots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^d$ contains a finite set of vectors $\{y_1, \cdots, y_t\}$ which span a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^d$. In particular, there exist $\{y_1, \cdots, y_t\} \subseteq B_d(2\delta)$ such that $y_1\mathbb{Z} + \cdots + y_t\mathbb{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^d$. On the other hand, $d > 1$ implies that $B_d(2\delta) = S_d(\delta) - S_d(\delta)$, so that we can take $h_1, h_2, \cdots, h_{2t} \in S_d(\delta)$ such that $y_i = h_{2i} - h_{2i-1}$, $i = 1, \cdots, t$. Then $h_1\mathbb{Z} + \cdots + h_{2t}\mathbb{Z}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^d$. □

Proof of Theorem 13. Let $\{h_1, \cdots, h_s\} \subset S_d(\delta)$ be such that they span a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}^d$ (these vectors exist thanks to Lemma 15). Then $q(\tau_{h_i})(f) = 0$ implies that

$$\dim \mathbf{span}\{f, \tau_{h_i}(f), \cdots, (\tau_{h_i})^n(f)\} \leqslant n, \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots s,$$

and we can apply Theorem 2.1 from [3] to claim that f is, in distributional sense, an exponential polynomial.

Thus, $f = \sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i(x)e^{\langle \lambda_i, x\rangle}$ (with equality in distributional sense) for certain complex vectors $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}^d$ and certain polynomials p_i (we assume $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ for $i \neq j$). Let us now demonstrate that $r = 1$ and $\lambda_1 = \mathbf{0}$.

By hypothesis, $q(\tau_y)(f) = 0$ for all y with $\|y\| = \delta$. This means that, for all $y \in S_d(\delta)$,

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= q(\tau_y)(\sum_{i=1}^{r} p_i(x)e^{\langle \lambda_i, x\rangle}) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} q(\tau_y)(p_i(x)e^{\langle \lambda_i, x\rangle}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(\tau_y)^k (p_i(x)e^{\langle \lambda_i, x\rangle}) \right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(e^{\langle \lambda_i, y\rangle})^k (\tau_y)^k (p_i)(x) \right) e^{\langle \lambda_i, x\rangle} \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r} Q_{i,y}(\tau_y)(p_i)(x)e^{\langle \lambda_i, x\rangle},
\end{aligned}$$

with

$$Q_{i,y}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(e^{\langle \lambda_i, y\rangle})^k z^k, \ i = 1, \cdots, r.$$

It follows that, for all $y \in S_d(\delta)$, $Q_{i,y}(\tau_y)(p_i)$ vanishes identically (see [22, Lemma 4.3]).

Let us assume that $\lambda_i \neq \mathbf{0}$ and consider the polynomial p_i and the variety it generates: $V_i = \tau(p_i) = \mathbf{span}\{p_i(x + \alpha) : \alpha \in \mathbb{R}^d\}$, which is a translation invariant space of finite dimension. The arguments used in the proof of Lemma 7 for the study of the translation operator $\tau_y : V_i \to V_i$ tell us that the minimal polynomial of this operator is of the form $(z-1)^{m(y)}$ for some $m(y) > 1$. Now, $Q_{i,y}(\tau_y)(p_i) = 0$ means that $Q_{i,y}((\tau_y)_{|V_i}) = 0$, which implies that $Q_{i,y}(z)$ is necessarily a multiple of $(z-1)^{m(y)}$. In particular,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k(e^{\langle \lambda_i, y\rangle})^k = Q_{i,y}(1) = 0 \text{ for all } y \in S_d(\delta). \tag{19}$$

Choose $y_0, \cdots, y_n \in S_d(\delta)$ such that $\rho_t \neq \rho_l$ for all $t \neq l$, where $\rho_t = e^{\langle \lambda_i, y_t\rangle}$ (this can be done because $d > 1$ and $\lambda_i \neq \mathbf{0}$). Then (19), used with these values, leads

to the linear system of equations

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho_0 & \cdots & (\rho_0)^n \\ 1 & \rho_1 & \cdots & (\rho_1)^n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & \rho_n & \cdots & (\rho_n)^n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_n \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{20}$$

which imply $a_0 = a_1 = \cdots = a_n = 0$ since Vandermonde's determinant is different from zero because all ρ_i's are different. This of course contradicts $a_n \neq 0$. Thus $\lambda_i = \mathbf{0}$ necessarily, which ends the proof. □

Remark 16. Note that $d > 1$ is necessary in Theorem 13, since $S_1(\delta) = \{-\delta, \delta\}$ and, for example, if we set $q(z) = z - 1$ and $f(x) = \cos(\frac{2\pi i x}{\delta})$, then $q(\tau_{\pm\delta})(f)(x) = (\Delta_{\pm\delta} f)(x) = 0$, but f is not a polynomial.

On the other hand, for $d = 1$, if $f \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R})'$ and $q(z) = a_0 + a_1 z + \cdots + a_n z^n$ is a polynomial with $a_n \neq 0$ such that $q(\tau_y)(f) = 0$ for all $y \in U$, for a certain open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$. Then U contains two elements h_1, h_2 such that $h_1/h_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$, and the very same arguments used for the proof of Theorem 13 guarantee that f is (in distributional sense) an ordinary polynomial, since $h_1/h_2 \notin \mathbb{Q}$ guarantees that $\{h_1, h_2\}$ span a dense subgroup of $\mathbb{R}$, and Theorem 2.1 from [3] can be used also with $d = 1$. Furthermore, for the last part of the proof, we will just need to find, given $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and λ_i any non-zero complex number, a set of points $\{y_1, \cdots, y_n\} \subset U$ such that $e^{\lambda_i y_t} \neq e^{\lambda_i y_l}$ for all $t \neq l$, and this can (obviously) be done for any open set $U \subseteq \mathbb{R}$.

References

[1] A. Aksoy, J. M. Almira, On Montel and Montel-Popoviciu Theorems in several variables, Aequationes Mathematicae, **89** (5) (2015) 1335–1357

[2] J. M. Almira, Montel's theorem and subspaces of distributions which are Δ^m-invariant, Numer. Functional Anal. Optimiz. **35** (4) (2014) 389–403.

[3] J. M. Almira, On Popoviciu-Ionescu functional equation, Annales Mathematicae Silesianae, **30** (2016) 5–15.

[4] J. M. Almira, On Loewner's characterization of polynomials, Jaén Journal on Approximation, **8** (2) (2016) 175–181.

[5] J. M. Almira, K. F. Abu-Helaiel, On Montel's theorem in several variables, Carpathian Journal of Mathematics, **31** (2015), 1–10.

[6] J. M. Almira, E. V. Shulman, On certain generalizations of the Levi-Civita and Wilson functional equations, manuscript, 2017, available at arXiv:1612.03756.

[7] J. M. Almira, L. Székelyhidi, Local polynomials and the Montel theorem, Aequationes Mathematicae, **89** (2015) 329-338.

[8] P. M. Anselone, J. Korevaar, Translation invariant subspaces of finite dimension, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **15** (1964), 747-752.

[9] H. Cramér, *Random variables and probability distributions,* Cambridge Tracts in Maths. and Math. Physics **36** Cambridge University Press, London, 1937.

[10] G. Feldman, *Functional equations and characterization problems on locally compact Abelian groups,* EMS, 2008.

[11] S. G. Ghurye, I. Olkin, A characterization of the multivariate normal distribution, Ann. Math. Statist. **33** (2) (1962) 533–541.

[12] G. H. Hardy, E. M. Wright, *An Introduction to the Theory of Numbers. Fifth edition.* The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1979.

[13] S. Haruki, On the mean value property of harmonic and complex polynomials, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, **57** (1981) 216-218.

[14] S. Haruki, On the theorem of S. Kakutani-M. Nagumo and J.L. Walsh for the mean value property of harmonic and complex polynomials, Pacific J. Math. **94** (1) (1981) 113-123.

[15] S. Haruki, On two functional equations connected with a mean-value property of polynomials, Aequationes Math. **6** (1971) 275-277.

[16] A.M. Kagan, Yu. V. Linnik, C. R. Rao, *Characterization problems in Mathematical Statistics,* John Wiley & Sons, 1973.

[17] S. Kakutani, M. Nagumo, About the functional equation $\sum_{v=0}^{n-1} f(z + e^{(\frac{2v\pi}{2})i}) = nf(z)$, Zenkoku Shijô Danwakai, **66** (1935) 10-12 (in Japanese).

[18] K. O. Leland, Finite dimensional translation invariant spaces, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **75** (1968) 757-758.

[19] T. Levi-Cività, Sulle funzioni che ammettono una formula d'addizione del tipo $f(x + y) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i(x)Y_i(y)$, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. **22** (5) (1913) 181-183.

[20] C. Loewner, On some transformation semigroups invariant under Euclidean and non-Euclidean isometries, J. Math. Mech. 8 (1959) 393-409.

[21] A. M. Mathai, G. Pederzoli, *Characterizations of the Normal Probability Law,* Wiley Eastern Limited, 1977.

[22] L. Szekelyhidi *Convolution type functional equations on Topological Abelian Groups,* World Scientific, 1991.

[23] L. Szekelyhidi *Discrete spectral synthesis and its applications,* Springer, 2006.

[24] V. S. Vladimirov, *Generalized Functions in Mathematical Physics,* 1979.

[25] J. L. Walsh, A mean value theorem for polynomials and harmonic polynomials, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (1936) 923-930.

Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Jaén, E.P.S. Linares, Campus Científico Tecnológico de Linares, 23700 Linares, Spain

E-mail address: jmalmira@ujaen.es